

### **REMARKS**

Claims 1-19, 21, 23 and 24 are pending in the above-identified application. Claim 11 has been amended so as to depend from claim 1. It is noted that previously presented claims 12-15 all ultimately depend from claim 11 and claim 1.

#### **Issues under 35 USC 112**

Claims 11-15 have been rejected under 35 USC 112, second paragraph, as allegedly being indefinite because of the recitation in these claims of surface resistance after heating.

Claims 11-15 have also been rejected under 35 USC 112, first paragraph as allegedly failing to satisfy the enablement requirement for all compositions and treated compositions that possess the property recited in these claims.

The above-noted rejections are traversed for the following reasons.

#### **Reasons Supporting Patentability of Claims 11-15**

It is respectfully submitted that presently amended claim 11 depends from claim 1 so as to include all of the allowable subject matter therefrom. It is further noted that “surface resistance” or “surface resistivity” is a characteristic well known in this technological area as evidenced by the disclosure of this property by Shiraiwa ‘591 (USP 5,599,591) in Tables 1 and 2 at columns 5-6 thereof. Shiraiwa was cited during the international stage of the present PCT application. Consequently, claim 11 satisfies the definiteness requirement under 35 USC 112, second paragraph. It is additionally submitted that since claim 11 has been amended so as to depend from claim 1, the resin molded product of claim 11 fully satisfies the enablement requirements under 35 USC 112, first paragraph with respect to compositions encompassed by allowable claim 1. It is also submitted that pending claims 12-15 all ultimately depend from claim 11 and thus satisfy the definiteness and enablement requirements under 35 USC 112, for the same reasons indicated above with regard to claim 11. Support for the change to claim 11 is

found, for example, at page 41, lines 4-11 and page 44, line 4 to page 45, line 4 of the specification.

It is submitted for the reasons above that the present claims define patentable subject matter such that this application should now be placed in condition for allowance.

If any questions arise in the above matters, please contact Applicant's representative, Andrew D. Meikle (Reg. No. 32,868), in the Washington Metropolitan Area at the phone number listed below.

If necessary, the Commissioner is hereby authorized in this, concurrent, and future replies, to charge payment or credit any overpayment to Deposit Account No. 02-2448 for any additional fees required under 37 C.F.R. §§ 1.16 or 1.17; particularly, extension of time fees.

Dated: September 27, 2005

Respectfully submitted,

By   
Andrew D. Meikle

Registration No.: 32,868

BIRCH, STEWART, KOLASCH & BIRCH, LLP

8110 Gatehouse Rd

Suite 100 East

P.O. Box 747

Falls Church, Virginia 22040-0747

(703) 205-8000

Attorney for Applicant